BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. AC-2006-8

DAVID M. SNYDER and SNYDER ACCOUNTANCY

Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 50228 And COR 3515

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the California Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective on October 26, 2008.

It is so ORDERED on September 26, 2008

FOR THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1 EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California 2 WILBERT E. BENNETT Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 Telephone: (510) 622-2134 JEANNE C. WERNER, State Bar No. 93170 Deputy Attorney General 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor 5 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2226 Facsimile: (510) 622-2121 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE 9 CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 Case No. AC-2006-8 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 12 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DAVID MICHAEL SNYDER 13 DISCIPLINARY ORDER 102 Rancho Road Carmel Valley, California 93924 14 Certified Public Accountant Certificate 15 No. CPA 50228 16 and 17 SNYDER ACCOUNTANCY A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 18 411 Pacific Street #315 Monterey, California 93940 19 Corporation Certificate No. COR 3515, 20 Respondents. 21 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-22 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true: 23 PARTIES AND JURISDICTION 24 1. Carol Sigmann, who was the Complainant in this matter and filed this matter before 25 the Board, was, at the time, the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy, and 26 brought this action solely in her official capacity. For purposes of resolving this matter, Daniel 27 Rich, the Assistant Executive Officer of the Board, is acting as the Executive Officer of the 28 Board and succeeds Ms. Sigmann as the Complainant. The Complainant is represented in this

matter by Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, by Wilbert E. Bennett and Jeanne C. Werner, Deputy Attorneys General.

- 2. Respondents David Michael Snyder is representing himself and his accountancy corporation, Snyder Accountancy, in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by legal counsel.
- 3. On or about March 12, 1988, the California Board of Accountancy issued Certified Public Accountant Certificate Number CPA 50228 to David Michael Snyder ("Respondent Snyder"). The Certified Public Accountant Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and is renewed through September 30, 2008.
- 4. On or about September 1, 1989, the California Board of Accountancy issued corporate registration No. COR 3515 to Snyder Accountancy, A Professional Corporation ("Respondent Corporation"). The corporate registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and is renewed through September 30, 2009.
- 5. The First Amended Accusation (hereinafter "Accusation") in case No. AC-2006-8 was filed before the California Board of Accountancy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, on December 27, 2006 and is currently pending against Respondents. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondents and Respondents timely filed Notices of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. AC-2006-8 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

WAIVERS, RESTRICTIONS & CONTINGENCY

- 6. Respondent Snyder, on behalf of himself and Respondent Snyder Accountancy, has carefully read, and understands, the charges and allegations in Accusation No. AC-2006-8. Respondent Snyder has also carefully read and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order on both respondents' licenses.
- 7. Respondent Snyder is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of



subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws. Respondent, on behalf of himself and his corporation, voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

- 8. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the California Board of Accountancy. Respondents understand and agree that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the California Board of Accountancy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondents. By signing the stipulation on behalf of both licensees, Respondent Snyder understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation, with respect to either license, prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order imposing discipline upon the licenses without further process.

ADMISSIONS, FINDINGS, AND FURTHER STIPULATIONS

- 10. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. AC-2006-8.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 50228 and his Corporate Certificate No. COR 3515 are subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.
- 12. The parties stipulate that Respondent Snyder has produced satisfactory proof to the Board's representatives that he has made restitution to the City of San Juan Bautista in the amount of \$19,000.00. (See Accusation, p.7, paragraph 17 and page 8, par. 4.).
- 13. The parties stipulate that, for the purpose of cost recovery under Code Section 5107, the Board's reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution in this matter are \$11,925.28.



14. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

IN CONSIDERATION OF THE FOREGOING admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 58332, issued to Respondent David Michael Snyder and Corporate Certificate No. COR 3515, issued to Respondent Snyder Accountancy are each revoked. However, the revocations are stayed and Respondents' respective licenses are each placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions.

- 1. Actual Suspension of Both Licenses. Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA issued to David Michael Snyder is suspended for 60 days. Likewise, Corporate Certificate No. COR 3515 issued to Snyder Accountancy, A Professional Corporation, is suspended for 60 days. During the period of suspension the Respondents shall engage in no activities for which certification as a Certified Public Accountant, Public Accountant, corporation or other firm or entity is required as described in Business and Professions Code, Division 3, Chapter 1, Section 5051.
- 2. Obey All Laws. Respondents shall obey all federal, California, other states' and local laws, including those rules relating to the practice of public accountancy in California.
- 3. Active License Status Respondent shall at all times maintain an active license status with the Board, including during any period of suspension. If the license is expired at the time the Board's decision becomes effective, the license must be renewed in an active status, with continuing education requirements completed, within 30 days of the effective date of the decision.
 - 4. Comply With Probation. Respondents shall fully comply with the terms and

conditions of the probation imposed by the Board and shall cooperate fully with representatives of the Board of Accountancy in its monitoring and investigation of the Respondents' compliance with probation terms and conditions.

- 5. Submit Written Reports. On behalf of both respondents, Respondent Snyder shall submit, within ten (10) days of completion of the quarter, written reports to the Board on a form obtained from the Board. Respondent Snyder shall submit, under penalty of perjury, such other written reports, declarations, and verification of actions, on behalf of both licenses, as are required. These declarations shall contain statements relative to Respondents' compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Respondent Snyder shall immediately execute all release of information forms as may be required by the Board or its representatives. Respondent Snyder shall inform the Board within 15 days of any change in the corporate structure of Snyder Accountancy.
- 6. **Personal Appearances.** Respondent Snyder shall, during the period of probation, appear in person at interviews/meetings as directed by the Board or its designated representatives, provided such notification is accomplished in a timely manner.
- 7. Continuing Education Courses. Respondent Snyder shall complete and provide proper documentation of 24 hours of professional education courses related to auditing within the first 18 months of the probationary period. This shall be in addition to continuing education requirements for license renewal. Failure to satisfactorily complete the required courses as scheduled or failure to complete same no later than 100 days prior to the termination of probation shall constitute cause for revocation of respondents' probation.
- 8. Cost Reimbursement. Respondents shall reimburse the Board \$11,925.28 for its investigation and prosecution costs. The payments shall be made in 10 equal installments, due with Respondents' quarterly reports, beginning with the first quarterly report, or as otherwise agreed by the Board or its representative. As provided by this schedule, payments must be completed in no event later than 60 days prior to the termination of probation. Failure to complete cost reimbursement will automatically extend the period of probation.
 - 9. Review of Audit Work. Work papers and audited financial statements for any audit

are to be reviewed by an outside CPA who is approved by the Board or its designee at Respondents expense before the release of the audit report.

- 10. **Practice Investigation.** Respondents shall be subject to, and shall permit, practice investigation of the Respondents' professional practice. Such a practice investigation shall be conducted by representatives of the Board, provided notification of such review is accomplished in a timely manner.
- 11. **Comply With Citations.** Respondents shall comply with all final orders resulting from citations issued by the Board of Accountancy.
- Respondent Snyder should leave California to reside or practice outside this state, Respondent must notify the Board in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of non-California residency or practice outside the state shall not apply to reduction of the probationary period, or of any suspension. No obligation imposed herein, including requirements to file written reports, reimburse the Board costs, or make restitution to consumers, shall be suspended or otherwise affected by such periods of out-of-state residency or practice except at the written direction of the Board. Respondent Snyder shall notify the Board within 15 days of his participation in any accountancy corporation or partnership in the United States.
- 13. Violation of Probation. If either Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondents notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out both disciplinary orders that were stayed. If an accusation or a petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondent(s) during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 14. Completion of Probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondents' licenses will be fully restored.

ACCEPTANCE AND WAIVER

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand that I may also seek the advice of legal counsel to advise me regarding the effects of



1	entering into this stipulation. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my		
2	Certified Public Accountant Certificate, and on my Accountancy Corporation Certificate. I ente		
3	into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently,		
4	and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the California Board of Accountancy.		
5	DATED: 8-1-08		
6	DAVID MICHAEL SNYDER, CPA, Respondent		
7	(Also signed on behalf of Respondent Snyder Accountance		
8	ENDORSEMENT		
9	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
10	submitted for consideration by the California Board of Accountancy of the Department of		
11	Consumer Affairs.		
12	DATED:, 2008.		
13	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California		
14 15	WILBERT E. BENNETT Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
16			
17	JEANNE C. WERNER		
18	Deputy Attorney General		
19	Attorneys for Complainant		
20			
21	DOJ Matter ID: SF2006400010 Snyder stip,wpd		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

1	entering into this stipulation. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my		
2	Certified Public Accountant Certificate, and on my Accountancy Corporation Certificate. I enter		
3	into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently,		
4	and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the California Board of Accountancy.		
5	DATED:		
6	DAVID MICHAEL SNYDER, CPA, Respondent		
7	(Also signed on behalf of Respondent Snyder Accountancy)		
8	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>		
9	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
10	submitted for consideration by the California Board of Accountancy of the Department of		
11	Consumer Affairs.		
12	DATED: July 28, 2008.		
13	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California		
14	WILBERT E. BENNETT		
15	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
16	Ran recliverse		
17	JEANNE C. WERNER		
18	(Deputy Attorney General		
19	Attorneys for Complainant		
20	DOJ Matter ID: SF2006400010		
21	Snyder stip.wpd		
22			
23	·		
24			
25			
26			
0.77			

Exhibit A
Accusation No. AC-2006-8

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General			
2	of the State of California JEANNE C. WERNER, State Bar No. 93170			
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice			
4	1515 Clay Street, 20 th Floor P.O. Box 70550			
5	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2226			
6	Facsimile: (510) 622-2121			
7	Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
8				
9	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA		
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC-2006-8		
11	DAVID MICHAEL SNYDER	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION		
12	P.O. Box 2196 Carmel Valley, California 93924			
13	Certified Public Accountant Certificate			
14	No. CPA 50228			
15	and	•		
16	SNYDER ACCOUNTANCY A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION			
17	411 Pacific Street #315 Monterey, California 93940			
18				
19	Respondents.			
20				
21	Complainant Carol Sigmann, as causes for disciplinary action, alleges:			
22	PARTIES, JURISDICTION and STATUTES/REGULATIONS			
23	1. Carol Sigmann, Complainant, brings this Accusation solely in her official capac			
24	as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy.			
25	2. On or about March 12, 1988, the California Board of Accountancy issued			
26	Certified Public Accountant Certificate Number CPA 50228 to David Michael Snyder			
27	("Respondent Snyder"). The Certified Public Accountant Certificate was in full force and effect			
28	at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and is renewed through September 30, 2006.			

3. On or about September 1, 1989, the California Board of Accountancy issued corporate registration No. COR 3515 to Snyder Accountancy, A Professional Corporation ("Respondent Corporation"). The corporate registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and is renewed through September 30, 2007.

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the California Board of Accountancy ("Board") under the authority of Business and Professions Code section 5100, which provides in pertinent part that, after notice and hearing, the Board may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew any permit or certificate issued by the Board for unprofessional conduct. Unprofessional conduct is defined therein to include but not to be limited to gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy (Code § 5100(c)) and the willful violation of the Accountancy Act or a Board rule (Code § 5100(g)).
- 5. Code Section 5156 provides for discipline of an accountancy corporation for unprofessional conduct.
- 6. Code Section 5062 requires a licensee to issue a report, upon completion of an audit of financial statements, which conforms to professional standards.
- 7. Section 58 of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (Board Rule 58) provides that licensees of the Board engaged in the practice of public accountancy shall comply with all applicable professional standards, including but not limited to generally accepted accounting principles and generally accepted auditing standards.
- 8. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides in pertinent part that the expiration of a license does not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated. Code section 5070.6 provides that an expired permit may be renewed at any time within five years after its expiration upon compliance with certain requirements.
- 9. Business and Professions Code section 5107 provides for recovery by the Board of all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of cases, including but not limited to attorneys' fees. A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs signed by the Executive Officer, constitute prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and

5

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 prosecution of the case.

APPLICABLE PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

- 10. Standards of practice pertinent to this accusation and the engagements in issue include, without limitation:
- Generally Accepted Auditing Standards ("GAAS") issued by the American A. Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). The ten GAAS (AU §150), which are interrelated, are discussed in the Statements on Auditing Standards ("SAS"). The SAS are codified, by "AU" number, in the AICPA's Codification of Statements on Auditing Standards. Among the SAS relevant herein, in addition to AU §150 which sets forth the Generally Accepted Auditing Standards and introduces the concepts of "materiality" and "audit risk," are: AU §311 (Planning and Supervision); AU §319 (Internal Control); AU §326 (Evidential Matter); AU §333 (Management Representations); AU §337 (Inquiry of a Client's Lawyer); AU §339 (Working Papers); and AU §508 (Auditor's Report).
- Audits of State and Local Governmental Units, an Audit and Accounting Guide of В. the AICPA, which provides authoritative guidance for the audits of governmental entities ("AAG-SLG"). Relevant herein, without limitation, are Chapter 7, section 714; Chapter 10, section 10.20; and Chapter 18, section 18.42.
- Governmental Auditing Standards ("GAS"), set forth in Government Auditing C. Standards - Standards for Audit of Governmental Organizations, Programs, Activities and Functions, and issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, United States General Accounting Office, 1994 rev. as amended (the "Yellow Book"). For a "Yellow Book" audit, these standards, in addition to requiring observance of the GAAS requirements set forth above, provide additional requirements, e.g., supplemental working paper documentation requirements, additional reporting requirements (reports on internal control and compliance with laws and regulations), and quality reviews, as set forth hereinafter. Among specific GAS pertinent herein are, without limitation:
- GAS Supplemental Working Paper Requirements Section 4.34 of (1.)Government Auditing Standards (the "Yellow Book") requires that a record of the auditors' work

be retained in the form of working papers. Section 4.35 provides that "Working papers should contain sufficient information to enable an experienced auditor having no previous connection to the audit to ascertain from them the evidence that supports the auditors' significant conclusions and judgments."

- Quality Control/Review. Section 3.31 provides that "Each audit organization conducting audits in accordance with these standards should have an appropriate internal quality control system in place and undergo an external quality control review." Section 3.33 provides that "Organizations conducting audits in accordance with these standards should have an external quality review at least once every 3 years by an organization not affiliated with the organization being reviewed. [footnote omitted] The external quality control review should determine whether the organization's internal quality control system is in place and operating effectively to provide reasonable assurance that established policies and procedures and applicable auditing standards are being followed."
- D. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"), derived from various authoritative sources. AU § 411 provides guidance to the auditor concerning the "Meaning of 'Present Fairly in Conformity with GAAP.'" This guidance relates to the auditor's duties under both GAAS and GAAP. AU § 411.18 sets forth the "GAAP Hierarchy Summary." Generally Accepted Accounting Principles relevant herein include, without limitation:
- (1) Statements and Interpretations (SFAS or FAS) issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board¹ (FASB). FAS and APB Opinions (see paragraph (2) immediately following) are codified by topic in the AICPA's publication *Current Text*. These pronouncements are the most authoritative source for GAAP. The statements pertinent herein include, without limitation, SFAS No. 95 (Statement of Cash Flows); and SFAS No. 132 (Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits).

^{1.} The FASB is the private sector organization which has been primarily responsible for promulgating GAAP since 1973. Before the FASB, the Accounting Principles Board (APB) issued opinions, from 1959 through 1973.)

(2) Statements issued by the Accounting Principles Board of the AICPA, including APB No. 12 (Classification & Disclosure of Allowances, etc.).

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Audit of the City of San Juan Bautista Gross Negligence - Bus. & Prof. Code Sec. 5100(c)

- 11. Respondent David Michael Snyder, doing business as Snyder Accountancy, performed an audit of the financial statements for the City of San Juan Bautista, California, for the year ended June 30, 2002. Respondent Snyder issued the auditor's report, signing for Snyder Accountancy, on December 3, 2002. The audit engagement was required to be performed in accordance with Generally Accepted Auditing Standards, Government Auditing Standards, and Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, which constitute the standard of practice in the State of California.³
- 12. Respondents' gross negligence included the following extreme departures from Generally Accepted Auditing Standards and Government Auditing Standards:

Audit Planning & Documentation

- A. Respondent(s) failed to obtain a management representation letter (AU §333.01).
- B. Respondent(s) failed to inquire of the client's lawyer concerning litigation, claims and assessments (AU § 337).
- C. Respondent(s) failed to use audit programs for testing of accounts receivable and prepaid expenses. Respondent(s) failed to complete audit programs for accounts payable and accrued expenses (they were left blank) (AU § 311.05).
- D. Respondent(s) failed to obtain an understanding, and document said understanding, of each of the five components of internal control sufficient to plan the audit. (AU § 150;
 - 2. See footnote 1.
- 3. Section 58 of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (Board Rule 58) provides that licensees of the Board engaged in the practice of public accountancy shall comply with all applicable professional standards, including but not limited to generally accepted accounting principles and generally accepted auditing standards.

AU § 319).

- E. Respondent(s) failed to evaluate, and document the evaluation, of the risk of material misstatements in the financial statements (AU § 319).
- F. Respondent(s) failed to obtain, through inspection, observation, inquiries, and confirmations, sufficient competent evidential matter to afford a reasonable basis for his opinion regarding the financial statements (AU § 326; AU § 339).
- G. Respondent(s) failed to adhere to Government Auditing Standards in that the audit work papers do not contain sufficient information that would enable an experienced auditor having no previous connection with the audit to ascertain from them the evidence that supports the auditor's significant conclusions and judgments (GAS § 4.35; AU § 339.05).

Auditor's Report

- H. Respondents' report omitted required language (AU § 508.08).
- I. Respondent(s) failed to opine on the combined, individual, and account group financial statements presented with the general purpose financial statements (AAG-SLG 18.42).
 - J. Respondent(s)' report and opinion is not supported by the audit documentation.

Statement of Cash Flows

K. Total cash and cash equivalents shown on the Statement of Cash Flows could not be tied to the totals on either the general purpose financial statements or the notes to the general purpose financial statements (FASB No. 95; AAG-SLG § 7.14).

Notes to General Purpose Financial Statements

L. The notes to the general purpose financial statements do not include required disclosures (APB Opinion No. 12; AAG-SLG § 10.20; FASB No. 132).

Required Peer Review

- M. Respondents did not complete a peer review as required by Government Auditing Standards (GAS § 3.31).
- 13. Incorporating by reference the allegations in paragraphs 11 and 12, cause for discipline of Respondents' licenses is established under Code sections 5100 and 5156 in that Respondents' failures constitute extreme departures from applicable professional standards, that

is, gross negligence, in violation of Code Section 5100(c).

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Violation of Professional Standards - Bus. & Prof. Code Sec. 5100(g)/Rule 58

14. Incorporating by reference the allegations in paragraphs 11 and 12, cause for discipline of Respondent(s)' licenses is established under Code Section 5100(g) and 5156 in conjunction with Board Rule 58 in that Respondent(s)' failures constitute multiple willful violations of applicable professional standards.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Failure to Issue Report Conforming to Professional Standards Bus. & Prof. Code Secs. 5100(g)/5062

15. Incorporating by reference the allegations in paragraphs 11 and 12, cause for discipline of Respondents' licenses is established under Code Sections 5100(g) and 5156, in that Respondent(s)' auditor's report does not conform to professional standards, as required by Code Section 5062.

OTHER MATTERS

- 16. Pursuant to Code section 5107, it is requested that the administrative law judge, as part of the proposed decision in this proceeding, direct Respondent to pay to the Board all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution in this case, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees.
- 17. In support of an order of restitution, it is alleged that the City of San Juan Bautista was required to restate its financial statements for the year ended June 20, 2002, and was required to pay another certified public accountant (the city's successor auditor) the additional amount of \$13,500.00 for the restatement and associated audit procedures.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the California Board of Accountancy issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending or otherwise imposing discipline upon Certified Public Accountant Certificate Number CPA 50228, issued to David Michael Snyder;

2. Revoking or suspending or otherwise imposing discipline upon Corporation Certificate Number COR 3515, issued to Snyder Accountancy, A Professional Corporation;

3. Ordering David Michael Snyder and Snyder Accountancy to pay the California Board of Accountancy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5107; and

4. Ordering restitution of all damages according to proof suffered by the City of San Juan Bautista as a condition of probation in the event that probation is ordered;

5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: December 27,2006

CAROL SIGMANN

Executive Officer

California Board of Acebuntancy Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SF2006400010

SNYDER FIRST AMD ACC.wpd